

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,747	10/26/2001	William H. Dixon	210818	5741
22971 7	7590 12/29/2005		EXAMINER	
	CORPORATION	DERWICH, KRISTIN M		
ATTN: PATENT GROUP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052-6399			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
REDITIOND,	WA 90032-0399		Z13Z	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/014,747	DIXON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kristin Derwich	2132	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date	of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviso no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	ry Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	hich the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee on and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS 	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but p (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	rior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because eration and/or search (see NOTE below);
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	orm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corre NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 ar	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S	, ,,
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowal non-allowable claim(s). 	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	/ill not be entered, or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of l below or appended.
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffiwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ore or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of t REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	he status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe	s NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO	/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
13. Other:	(-11)
	6 Nout 'A
	GILBERTO BARRON TA
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments introduce the added limitation of each process being executed on an end system. Although an end system was stated in the preamble, it was merely a place where the Distributed Firewall could be used. Accordingly, the amendments raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.